

DUID and Purchase Requests

Presenter Kim Pisall

Supply Chain System Transformation Phone Number 703 614-3883



Workshop Objectives

- ▶ Define requirements for DUID construct, system generation and storage
- Define requirements for AUID retrieval
- ▶ Define requirements for commitment posting

1



Outcome of AUID Session

- ▶ Funds Distribution systems (PBAS) will generate AUID at source
 - Would only cover those funds distributed using PBAS
 - Would enable interim environment while Funds Distribution BPR working group identifies target solution
- ▶ BEIS or target accounting systems (ERPs) will generate AUID upon receipt of Legacy funds distribution transaction
 - BEIS priority for next 18 months is to create roll up to SFIS compliant financial statements – may require no effort until first priority complete
- ▶ Accounting systems will generate AUID upon receipt of funds
 - Would require changes to legacy accounting systems



Options for DUID Creation

- Option 1: Program Management system generates the DUID and passes it to the purchase request system
- Option 2: Purchase request system generates DUID upon receipt of requirement from program manager
- ▶ Option 3: Target accounting system or interim Business Enterprise Information Service (BEIS) creates DUID at the point of commitment
- Option 4: DUID registry creates DUID and retrieved by purchase request / accounting and contract writing systems
- ▶ Option 5: Some combination of the above



Option 1: Program Management System Creates DUID

▶ PROS:

- Would facilitate capturing detailed costs at demand line item level at the very beginning of the demand process
- Would drive standardization in tying execution to budget back to the PM systems to facilitate future cost accounting efforts

CONS:

- Numerous disparate systems would have to have capability to generate DUID and ensure enterprise-wide uniqueness under the "equivalent" concept
- Numerous disparate systems would have to have capability to ensure funds are available by retrieving AUID (post commitment), and pass required data elements to DUID registry; may not be feasible without full net-centric capability across the Department
- May not make good business sense from the standpoint of materiality; depending on the size of the program it may not matter how much cost is associated to each demand line item; capturing costs at the program level using allocation methodology may be sufficient



Option 2: Purchase Request System Creates DUID

▶ PROS:

- Far fewer PR systems than program management systems; Service or Agency wide using similar systems (ABSS, PR Builder, etc); easier to control enterprise-wide uniqueness
- Would drive standardization in tying execution to budget back to the purchase request systems to facilitate future cost accounting efforts
- Would facilitate capturing detailed costs at demand line item level from the request for purchase point in the process
- Improves reconciliation by tracking requests using the same number as accounting and contract writing

▶ CONS:

- PR systems would have to have capability to generate DUID at the line item level and ensure enterprise-wide uniqueness under the "equivalent" concept;
- PR systems would have to have capability to ensure funds are availably by retrieving AUID (post commitment), pass required data to contract writing, accounting and DUID registry
 - Mitigating factors: some may already have capability to generate unique number at demand line item level, ensure funds are available and/or pass some of the required data



Option 3: Target accounting system or interim Business Enterprise Information Service (BEIS) creates DUID

▶ PROS:

- Fewer target accounting plus BEIS systems than PR systems would have to be upgraded to generate DUID and pass required data to the DUID registry
- Easier to ensure funds are available since accounting system is system of record for account balances
- Easier to control enterprise-wide uniqueness

CONS:

- Would not drive standardization in tying execution to budget back to the purchase request systems to facilitate future cost accounting efforts
- Would not facilitate capturing detailed costs at demand line item level from the request for purchase point in the process
- Would not improve reconciliation because purchase requests would be using a different number than accounting and contract writing



Option 4: DUID registry creates DUID and retrieved by purchase request systems

▶ PROS:

- Only the DUID registry would have to be upgraded to create DUIDs and conduct funds control with accounting
- Facilitates manual interim solution whereby users could log on to the registry obtain a number and manually enter it into existing systems

CONS:

- Systems that already have the capability to generate an enterprise-wide unique number at the demand line item level would have to adopt a new, possibly interim manual process
- PR systems would have to be updated to ensure funds are available from accounting, pass required data elements to registry and retrieve DUID to pass to contract writing
- Manual process:
 - User would have to enter all DUID required data into the registry
 - User would have to ensure available funds prior to obtaining the DUID from the registry and passing the PR to contract writing and accounting



Option 5: Some Combination of options 1, 2, 3 and/or 4

▶ Thoughts?



DUID Registry Required Data Elements

- ▶ Allocation UID (AUID)
- Object Class
- Object Sub-class
- Contingency Code
- ▶ Demand UID (DUID)
- ▶ Acquisition Program UID (APUID)
- Asset Type
- Transaction Type
- Trans Effective Date

- ▶ Transaction Post Date
- ▶ Trans Amount
- Custodial/Non-custodial
- ▶ Entity/Non-entity Indicator
- Federal/Non-Federal
- Trading Partner Indicator
- **TPN**
- ▶ Transaction Quantity
- Organization UID



Contract Writing Required Data Elements

- DUID
- ▶ DUID Amount
- ▶ LOA
- Job Order
- Job Order Quantity
- Job Order Expiration Date
- Job Order Amount
- Cost Code
- ▶ TAC
- ▶ TAC Amount
- ▶ FMS Case Number

UID and SFIS Process Flow

